

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

_____ DEPARTMENT, _____ DIVISION/DISTRICT

People of the State of Illinois

v.

Case No.: _____

Charge: _____

IR No: _____

_____ Defendant

(or SID FBI No): _____

ORDER AFTER PRETRIAL DETENTION HEARING

725 ILCS 5/110-2, 110-6.1

Defendant appeared (CR1821) in person (CR1822) virtually.

Upon hearing the State’s Petition to Deny Pretrial Release, the Court finds that:

(CR1825) The State’s petition for pretrial detention denied.

(CR1824) The State has shown, by clear and convincing evidence, that:

1. The proof is evident or the presumption great that the defendant has committed an eligible offense listed in 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a)(1)-(7) _____

_____ ; and,

2. The defendant poses a real and present threat to the safety of any person or persons or the community, based on the specific articulable facts of the case. To wit: _____

_____ ; and,

3. No condition or combination of conditions set forth in 725 ILCS 5/110-10(b) can mitigate the real and present threat to the safety of any person or persons or community based on the specific articulable facts of the case. Less restrictive conditions would not avoid a real and present threat to the safety of any person or persons or the community, based on the specific articulable facts of the case, because:

_____ ; and,

4. For offenses under subsection (b) of Section 407 of the Illinois Controlled Substances Act that are subject to 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a)(1), the defendant also poses a serious risk to not appear in court as required.

Iris Y. Martinez, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

cookcountyclerkofcourt.org

Order After Pretrial Detention Hearing

(12/01/23) CCG 0153 B

(CR1823) The State has shown, by clear and convincing evidence, that:

- 1. The proof is evident or the presumption great that the defendant has committed an eligible offense listed in 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a)(8) _____

_____ ; and,

- 2. No condition or combination of conditions set forth in 725 ILCS 5/110-10(b) can mitigate the defendant's willful flight. Less restrictive conditions would not prevent the defendant's willful flight from prosecution because: _____

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The defendant is released as provided in a separate order. (See Conditions of Pretrial Release Order.)

(CR0948) The defendant shall be detained and remanded to the custody of the Cook County sheriff pending trial and be brought to all court proceedings as required. The defendant shall be given a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel and for communication with others by visitation, mail and telephone.

Until further order of the court, the defendant shall have no direct or indirect contact of any kind with the following person(s), regardless of whether the defendant is in custody:

See and comply with the terms and conditions of the following orders:

DV Order of Protection No. _____

Civil No Contact Order No. _____

Stalking No Contact Order No. _____

Workplace Protection Restraining Order No. _____

Firearm Restraining Order No. _____

ENTERED: Dated: _____ /s/ _____ Judge Judge's No. _____

Iris Y. Martinez, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois

cookcountyclerkofcourt.org